



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,445	09/29/2000	Ronald R. Martinsen	2710	4420
7590 04/12/2005			EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF ALBERT S. MICHALIK, PLLC			NGUYEN, NHON D	
704 228th AVENUE NE SUITE 193			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAMMAMISH,, WA 98074			2179	

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/677.445 MARTINSEN ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen 2179 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen (Patent Examiner). (2) Kevin D. Jablonski (Applicant's Representative). (4) Date of Interview: 07 April 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_ Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Guthrie. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant found the 101 non-statutory rejection inappropriate basing on MPEP and would file a response to address that. Applicant also pointed out that Guthrie's reference did not support the claim limitation because the injected component (or behavior component) was not bounded into an element. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required